

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,883	10/21/2003	James Alan Woodward	200305024-2	3885
75	590 05/18/2004	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			NAMAZI, MEHDI	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2188	-
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/689,883	WOODWARD, JAMES ALA	۸N			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mehdi Namazi	2188				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status							
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 O	· ·					
-,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 6,8-11,13-15,26 and 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6,8-11,13-15,26 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the I					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	tit(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Description Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Der No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/2003	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		AVAILABLE COPY			

Application/Control Number: 10/689,883

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to preliminary amendment filed October 21, 2003.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 6, 8-11, 13-15, and 26-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,468.

A question of patentability is raised with respect to representative claims 6, 8-11, 13-15, and 26-27of the instant application under the judicially doctrine of "obviousness-type" double patenting with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,647,468.

More specifically, OPQR maintains that in view of the "obviousness-type" double patenting rational enunciated in Georgia pacific Corp v United States Gypsum Co., 52 USPQ2d 1590, U.S. Court of Appeals Federal Circuit 1999, representative application

Application/Control Number: 10/689,883

Art Unit: 2188

claim # merely defines an obvious variation of the invention claimed in US Patent 6,647,468.

Initially it should be noted that the present application is a continuation application of parent patent 6,647,468, having the same inventive entity. The Assignee in both applications is Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The entire disclosures of the instant application and patent number 6,647,468 are identical.

A claims 6, and 7 of the patent are compared to claims 6, and 11 of instant application in the table below.

Limitations in instant application claims 6,	Limitations in patented claims 6, and 7	
and 11		
A method for managing memory	A method for managing memory	
synchronization in a computer system	synchronization in a computer system	
having multiple devices sharing memory	having multiple devices sharing memory	
resources, comprising:	resources, comprising the step of:	
Determining whether a memory element	Determining whether a memory element	
within the memory resource has changed;	within the memory resource has changed;	
Determining whether a memory	Determining whether a memory	
synchronization event has occurred	synchronization event has occurred	
synchronizing the multiple devices;	synchronizing the multiple devices;	

Application/Control Number: 10/689,883

Art Unit: 2188

Synchronizing the multiple devices if no synchronization event has occurred; and

Synchronizing the multiple devices if no synchronization event has occurred; and

Determining whether the memory resource is to be shared with more then one of the multiple devices; and

Preventing synchronizing of the memory resource with the multiple devices if the memory resource is not to be shared.

Preventing synchronizing of the memory resource with the multiple devices if the memory resource is not to be shared.

Claims 6, and 11 of the instant application is anticipated by patent claims 6, and 7 in those claims 6, and 7 of the patent contains all the limitation of claims 6, and 11 of instant application. Claims 6, and 11 of the instant application therefore are not patently distinct from the earlier patent claim and as such are unpatentable for obvious-type double patenting.

Under the rules of GATT/NAFTA for implementation of the 20 years term effective June 8, 1995, the term of the aforenoted U.S. patent ends the same date as the instant application. Therefore, patent protection rights due application from U.S. Patent No. 6,539,454 cannot be timewise extended by issuance of the instant application even without a properly drafted terminal disclaimer in this case. **However in**

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/689,883

Art Unit: 2188

lieu of the cancellation of the claims or abandonment of the instant application, applicants must overcome this question of patentability by submission of a paper that at least addresses the "enforceability/common ownership" provision of a terminal disclaimer referred to in 37 CFR 1.321 (C) (3).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehdi Namazi whose telephone number is 703-306-2758. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mehdi Namazi Examiner

Art Unit 2188

May 16, 2004